

Name: Emily Redelmeier

Student Number: 99074006

Course: APM446H1

Assignment 1

38/40

- ① Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \rho(t), \varphi_1 + c\varphi_2 \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi_1 + c\varphi_2)(\chi(t, x)) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi_1(\chi(t, x)) + c\varphi_2(\chi(t, x))) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_1(\chi(t, x)) dx + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_2(\chi(t, x)) dx \\ &= \langle \rho(t), \varphi_1 \rangle + c \langle \rho(t), \varphi_2 \rangle\end{aligned}$$

So for all t , $\rho(t)$ is a distribution over \mathbb{R}^d , so $\rho \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle \rho(t), \varphi \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(\chi(t, x)) dx \right| \\ &\leq \Omega \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\varphi(\chi(t, x))| \right) \\ &= \Omega \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\varphi(x)| \right) \\ &\leq \Omega \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi(x)| \right)\end{aligned}$$

you still have to show it is continuous
this will do

5/5

- ② Since χ is a diffeomorphism, its Jacobian always exists and is never singular. So $D\chi(t, x)$ is always defined. Furthermore, χ^{-1} is defined on Ω . Then for every t ,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \rho(t), \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(\chi(t, x)) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(y) \frac{1}{|D\chi(t, \chi^{-1}(t, y))|} dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{|D\chi(t, \chi^{-1}(t, y))|} \varphi(y) dy\end{aligned}$$

3/3 5/5

$$\therefore \langle \rho, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{\rho}(y) \varphi(y) dy$$

where $\bar{\rho}(y) = \frac{1}{|D\chi(t, \chi^{-1}(t, y))|}$.

③

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \partial_t \rho, \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla \varphi(\chi(t, x)) \cdot \partial_t \chi(t, x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{|D\chi|} \varphi(\chi(t, x)) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(\chi(t, x)) dx\end{aligned}$$

3/3

you would need to justify this.

- ④ Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \partial_t \rho(t), \varphi_1 + c\varphi_2 \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla(\varphi_1 + c\varphi_2)(\chi(t, x)) \cdot \partial_t \chi(t, x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla \varphi_1(\chi(t, x)) + c \nabla \varphi_2(\chi(t, x))) \cdot \partial_t \chi(t, x) dx\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \varphi_1(\chi(t, x)) \cdot \partial_t \chi(t, x) + c \nabla \varphi_2(\chi(t, x)) \cdot \partial_t \chi(t, x)) dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla \varphi_1(\chi(t, x)) \cdot \partial_t \chi(t, x) dx + c \int_{\Omega} \nabla \varphi_2(\chi(t, x)) \cdot \partial_t \chi(t, x) dx \\
 &= \langle \partial_t p(t), \varphi_1 \rangle + c \langle \partial_t p(t), \varphi_2 \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

so $\partial_t p \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Furthermore, ~~again you need to show it is continuous. and again this will suffice~~

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\langle \partial_t p(t), \varphi \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla \varphi(\chi(t, x)) \cdot \partial_t \chi(t, x) dx \right| \\
 &\leq \|\Omega\|_{\text{area}} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\nabla \varphi(\chi(t, x)) \cdot \partial_t \chi(t, x)| \\
 &\leq \|\Omega\|_{\text{area}} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\nabla \varphi(\chi(t, x))| \|\partial_t \chi(t, x)\| \\
 &\leq \|\Omega\|_{\text{area}} \sup_{y \in \Omega} |\nabla \varphi(y)| \sup_{x \in \Omega} \|\partial_t \chi(t, x)\|
 \end{aligned}$$

~~5/5~~

BTW why is this true?

(5) a) If we have $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $t_1 = t_2$ and $\chi(t_1, x_2) = \chi(t_2, x_2)$

~~then since χ is a diffeomorphism, $t_1 = t_2$ and $x_1 = x_2$. Then $\partial_t \chi(t_1, x_1) = \partial_t \chi(t_2, x_2)$. So we can define a function $v(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\partial_t \chi(t, x) = v(t, \chi(t, x))$.~~

~~what is t ? $\chi(t, x^{-1})$~~

b) Unravelling the terms, the first term of the integrand is $\frac{\partial \varphi(t, x)}{\partial t}(t, x) \bar{p}(t, x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{[0, T] \times \Omega} \frac{\partial \varphi(t, x)}{\partial t}(t, x) \bar{p}(t, x) dt &= \int_{[0, T]} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \varphi(t, x)}{\partial t}(t, x) \partial_t \chi(t, x) dt dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} (\varphi(0, x)) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi(0, x) = \varphi(T, x) = 0$ for any x . So this integrand is zero, and hence so is the integral.

~~not for any x .~~

The integral of the second term is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{[0, T] \times \Omega} \nabla_x \varphi(t, x) \cdot v(t, x) \bar{p}(t, x) dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x \varphi(t, x) \cdot v(t, x) dx dt \\
 &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x \varphi(t, x) \cdot \partial_t \chi(t, x) dx dt \\
 &= \int_0^T \langle \partial_t p(t), \varphi \rangle dt \\
 &= \int_0^T \langle \rho, \varphi \rangle dt \quad \text{use.} \\
 &= \langle \rho, \varphi \rangle |_0^T \\
 &= \langle \rho, \varphi(T) \rangle - \langle \rho, \varphi(0) \rangle \\
 &= \langle \rho, 0 \rangle - \langle \rho, 0 \rangle \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

~~5/5~~

you can also write ~~the~~ integrand as $\partial_t \varphi(t, x)$

So the integral of the second term of the integrand is also zero. So the entire integral is zero.

⑦ Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in (C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))^*$ and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle (\varphi_1 + c\varphi_2)(t) \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} (\varphi_1 + c\varphi_2)(\chi(t, x)) \cdot \partial_t \chi(t, x) dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} (\varphi_1(\chi(t, x)) + c\varphi_2(\chi(t, x))) \cdot \partial_t \chi(t, x) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} (\phi_1(X(t, x)) \cdot \partial_t X(t, x) + c \phi_2(X(t, x)) \cdot \partial_x X(t, x)) dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \phi_1(X(t, x)) \cdot \partial_t X(t, x) dx + c \int_{\Omega} \phi_2(X(t, x)) \cdot \partial_x X(t, x) dx$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \phi, \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \phi(X(t, x)) \cdot \partial_t X(t, x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\phi(X(t, x))| |\partial_t X(t, x)| dx \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial_t X(t, x)| \int_{\Omega} |\phi|(X(t, x)) dx \\ &= \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial_t X(t, x)| |\langle \rho(t), \phi \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

Again continuity.

5/5

- ⑧ As shown in 5b, $\int_{\Omega} \langle \partial_t \phi(t), \rho(t) \rangle dt = 0$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla \phi(t), j(t) \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi(X(t, x)) \cdot \partial_t X(t, x) dx \\ &= \langle \partial_t \rho, \phi \rangle \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \langle \rho, \phi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \nabla \phi(t), j(t) \rangle dt &= \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} \langle \rho, \phi \rangle dt \\ &= \langle \rho, \phi \rangle |_0^T \\ &= \langle \rho, \phi(T) \rangle - \langle \rho, \phi(0) \rangle \\ &= 0 - 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

✓ 5/5

- ⑨ Since $f \in C'(\mathbb{R})$, f' is continuous, and ρ is bounded on $[0, C]$. So for any $x \in [0, C]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(0)| &\leq \sup_{y \in [0, C]} |f'(y)| x \\ \therefore |f(x)| &\leq \sup_{y \in [0, C]} |f'(y)| x \\ \therefore |f(\rho(t, x))| &\leq \sup_{y \in [0, C]} |f'(y)| \rho(t, x) \end{aligned}$$

Excellent.

Since $|P(\rho(t, x))|$ is bounded above by a constant multiple of $\rho(t, x)$, and any constant multiple of $\rho(t, x)$ must have a finite integral (since $\rho(t, x)$ does), $P(\rho(t, x))$ must have a finite integral.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} P(\rho(t, x)) dx &= \int_{\Omega} f'(\rho(t, x)) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f'(\rho(t, x)) (\nabla \cdot (\rho v)) dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} f'(\rho(t, x)) \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial(\rho v_i)}{\partial x_i} dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} f'(\rho(t, x)) \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_i} v_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 \rho \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i} \right) dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} f'(\rho(t, x)) (\nabla \rho \cdot v + \rho \nabla \cdot v) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= - \int f'(\rho(t,x)) \nabla \rho(t,x) \cdot v(t,x) dx$$
$$= - \int \nabla_x \rho(t,x) \cdot v(t,x) dx$$

integrate by parts

$$\cancel{= \int f(\rho) \nabla \cdot v}$$

But $\nabla \cdot v = 0$.

~~\$\frac{9}{10}\$~~